# **RUBY** Project **RUBY** Robust and reliable general management tool for performance and durability improvement of fuel cell stationary units

ROBUST DIAGNOSIS OF PEMFC BASED ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND EIS

DAMIEN CHANAL, NADIA YOUSFI-STEINER, DIDIER CHAMAGNE, MARIE-CÉCILE PERA

CONTACT : DAMIEN.CHANAL@FEMTO-ST.FR

















### Context

### **Diagnosis approach**

#### **Standardization methods**

### Validation of approach

Why diagnosing FCs ?

From the database to the classification algorithm

Overview of methods

Metrics & Results





















#### Context

#### Diagnosis approach

### **Standardization methods** Validation of approach

Why diagnosing FCs ?

From database to classification algorithm

Overview of methods

**Metrics & Results** 









# 



### Lifetime of fuel cells

- DOE Objectives
  - 2020 : 40 000h Stationary / 5000h Vehicle
  - Ultimate: 80 000h / 8000h
- Tools :
  - Diagnosis  $\rightarrow$  Detection of degrading conditions
  - Prognosis  $\rightarrow$  Prediction of future performance



• Control  $\rightarrow$  Changes in operating conditions















Context

### **Diagnosis approach**

Why diagnosing FCs ? From database to classification algorithm

### **Standardization methods** Validation of approach

Overview of methods

Metrics & Results







## Diagnostic approach



Why using diagnosis ?

- Determine the State of Health of a system
- Quickly detect any abnormal conditions
  - Operation to be done regularly
  - Plan maintenance operations

Operation :

- Model-based:
  - Equations and/or artificial intelligence
  - Relationship(s) between input(s) and output(s)
    - Calculation of residuals
- Non model-based:
  - Statistical analysis and artificial intelligence
  - Relationship(s) between known conditions and data











### Diagnostic approach



European "Health Code" project

|          | Training of the algorithm |                       |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|          |                           |                       |
|          |                           |                       |
| Database |                           |                       |
|          |                           | -<br>-<br>-<br>-<br>- |
|          |                           |                       |
|          |                           |                       |

The key point of Machine Learning algorithms

- Clean data are needed to have powerfull algorithms
- Complexity of obtaining noiseless data on an industrial scale







## Diagnostic approach – Databases



#### Databases:

- Composed by electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS)
- Two PEMFC technologies tested by 2 different laboratories
  - 5 7 conditions tested
    - Nominal conditions
    - Water management (+/-)
    - Reagent management (-)
    - Poisoning (CO and Sulfur)
  - More than 80 EIS per technology





(f) FC LAB



## **Diagnostic approach** – Databases









#### © All rights reserved. Unauthorized use or reproduction without authors consent is prohibited. Material presented at the Workshop jointly organized by H2020 Projects AD ASTRA and RUBY on 5th July 2022 – Lucerne (CH)

### **Diagnostic approach** – Databases









## Diagnostic approach – Databases













Generate a Fault Detection & Identification (FDI) space to separate each fault

• Extraction based on physics knowledge and/or statistical test





Clean Hydrogen Partnership



## Diagnostic approach - Feature extraction

(1) Min Magnitude ; (2) Max Magnitude; (3) Delta Magnitude, (4) Polarization resistance; (5) Max Phase
(6) Min Phase; (7) Phase at low frequency (~0.1) ; (8) Delta Phase,
(9 &10) Phase analysis: Phase = A. freq + B [1, 10] Hz

Representation of features extracted on Nyquist (left) & Bode (right) diagramns





**UB** 

Funded by the EU Fuel Cell and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking (now Clean Hydrogen Partnership) – H2020 Programme Grant Agreement Number 875047

Clean Hydrogen Partnership







#### **Optimisation of the FDI space**

- Keeping only relevant information
- Too much: Overfitting  $\rightarrow$  Redundant information, computation time  $\nearrow$
- Not enough: Underfitting  $\rightarrow$  Poor performances





## Diagnostic approach - Feature selection



15

#### • <u>Filtering</u>

- Objective :
  - To limit redundant information
  - To reduce the feature space
- Process :
  - Measure of correlation between features (Pearson)
  - Variance to determine which one to delete
- <u>Ranking</u>
  - Objective :
    - To simplify the need for empirical testing
    - Basic process: testing all feature combinations
    - With ranking : adding features 1 by 1 until reaching the maximum accuracy.
  - Process :
    - Statistical test ANOVA F-Test
    - Measuring the relationship between the condition being tested and each feature





### Diagnostic approach



Determining the condition associated with a known data to evaluate the performance

- Need for a low computational time algorithm
- To reduce user expertise for ease of use  $\rightarrow$  How much is the prediction correct ?
- To be able to adapt during operation







## Diagnostic approach - Classification

### Classification

- Use of fuzzy c-means clustering
  - Reduce the user expertise and add incertitude in the prediction of data
  - Non supervised algorithm
    - The class of each individual is unknown
    - Separates a database into X desired clusters
    - Determines the coordinates of the centers of each cluster
- Adaptation
  - Creation of groups for each condition tested
    - Level of faults
  - To know the condition associated with each center (but not the level)
  - To optimize positioning
  - To facilitate the classification of new points
- The case of CO poisoning
  - High intensity positive imaginary part













Funded by the EU Fuel Cell and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking (now Clean Hydrogen Partnership) – H2020 Programme Grant Agreement Number 875047





FC LAB











Context

#### **Diagnosis approach**

### **Standardization methods**

Validation of approach

Why diagnosing FCs ?

From database to classification algorithm

Overview of methods

Metrics & Results









### Principle of standardization



Main interest of standardization:

| Scaling the data                  | Reduce computational time |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Reduce the importance of outliers | Improve performances      |

RUB





### Overview of methods



|                                  | <u>Type of</u><br><u>transformation</u> | <u>Standardized</u><br><u>data</u>                                   | <u>Sensitive to</u><br>outliers | <u>Operation</u>   | <u>Usefulness</u>   | <u>Comments</u>                        |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Normalizers                      | Normalizer                              | Samples  | Yes                             | Divide the samples by their norm (L1,<br>L2, inf).                     | Quantifies similarities between samples                   | -                                      |
| Min-Max                          | Linear                                  | Features   | Yes                             | Features are scaled in the range [0, 1]<br>using Min-Max values        | Same scale for all features [0, 1]                        | -                                      |
| Max-Abs                          | Linear                                  | Features   | Yes                             | Divides the data by the maximum absolute value                         | Same scale for all features [0 or -1, 1]                  | -                                      |
| Standard                         | Linear                                  | ar Features Yes Center the features using the mea standard deviation |                                 | Center the features using the mean and standard deviation              | Features centered around the mean                         | Mean = 0,<br>Standard<br>deviation = 1 |
| Robust                           | Linear                                  | Features   | No                              | Same principle as Standard but uses the median and interquartile range | Outliers not taken into account to center the features    | -                                      |
| Box-Cox                          | Non-linear                              | Features   | No                              | Transforms the data to follow a Gaussian distribution                  | Low outlier weight  | Strictly positive<br>data              |
| Yeo - Johnson                    | Non-linear                              | Features   | No                              | Transforms the data to follow a Gaussian distribution                  | Low outlier weight  | -                                      |
| Quantile<br>uniforme &<br>normal | Non-linear                              | Features   | No                              | The features follow a uniform or normal distribution                   | Same scale for all<br>features<br>+<br>Low outlier weight | -                                      |











#### Context

#### **Diagnosis approach**

#### **Standardization methods**

### Validation of approach

Why diagnosing FCs ?

From database to classification algorithm

Overview of methods

Metrics & Results







## **Classification metrics**



|                       | Real condition |           |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Detected<br>condition | True           | False     |  |  |  |  |
| True                  | <u>Тр</u>      | <u>Fp</u> |  |  |  |  |
| False                 | <u>Fn</u>      | <u>Tn</u> |  |  |  |  |

Confusion matrix:

Accuracy score : ٠

• Accuracy = 
$$\frac{Tp + Tn}{Tp + Tn + Fp + Fn}$$

Precision score : ٠

• Precision = 
$$\frac{Tp}{Tp + Fp}$$

- Recall score :
  - Recall =  $\frac{Tp}{Tp + Fn}$
- F1 score
  - $F1 = \frac{2 \times Recall \times Precision}{Recall + Precision}$







### **Results obtained**



Database 1 : H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>

Tested conditions: nominal (1), flooding (1), drying (3), H<sub>2</sub> starvation (3), O<sub>2</sub> starvation (3)

Cross-validation : Leave One Out

|                          |          | Normalizer       |                  |                   | Linear scaler     |                           |                    |                  | Non Linear transformer |                    |                     |
|--------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                          | Raw data | Normalizer<br>L2 | Normalizer<br>L1 | Normalizer<br>inf | Min-Max<br>scaler | Max<br>Absolute<br>scaler | Standard<br>scaler | Robust<br>scaler | Yeo-<br>Johnson        | Normal<br>Quantile | Uniform<br>Quantile |
| Accuracy                 | 0.852    | 0.784            | 0.784            | 0.750             | 0.943             | 0.852                     | 0.920              | 0.977            | 0.966                  | 0.943              | 0.955               |
| F1 score                 | 0.852    | 0.781            | 0.780            | 0.745             | 0.943             | 0.853                     | 0.920              | 0.977            | 0.966                  | 0.943              | 0.954               |
| Recall<br>score          | 0.852    | 0.784            | 0.784            | 0.750             | 0.943             | 0.852                     | 0.920              | 0.977            | 0.966                  | 0.943              | 0.955               |
| Precision<br>score       | 0.859    | 0.797            | 0.797            | 0.759             | 0.947             | 0.856                     | 0.922              | 0.979            | 0.966                  | 0.948              | 0.961               |
| Number<br>of<br>features | 4        | 8                | 7                | 7                 | 5                 | 5                         | 5                  | 6                | 6                      | 6                  | 5                   |



Funded by the EU Fuel Cell and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking (now Clean Hydrogen Partnership) – H2020 Programme Grant Agreement Number 875047





(F) FC LAB

### **Results obtained**



#### Database 2 : H<sub>2</sub>/Air

Tested conditions : nominal (1), flooding (2), drying (2), H<sub>2</sub> starvation (2), Air starvation (2), carbon monoxide poisoning (3), sulphur poisoning (4)

carbon monoxide poisoning (5), sulprit

Cross-validation : Leave One Out

|                          |          | Normalizer       |                  |                   | Linear scaler     |                           |                    |                  | Non Linear transformer |                    |                     |
|--------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                          | Raw data | Normalizer<br>L2 | Normalizer<br>L1 | Normalizer<br>inf | Min-Max<br>scaler | Max<br>Absolute<br>scaler | Standard<br>scaler | Robust<br>scaler | Yeo-<br>Johnson        | Normal<br>Quantile | Uniform<br>Quantile |
| Accuracy                 | 0.776    | 0.829            | 0.882            | 0.868             | 0.882             | 0.855                     | 0.750              | 0.842            | 0.908                  | 0.882              | 0.961               |
| F1 score                 | 0.774    | 0.832            | 0.883            | 0.864             | 0.879             | 0.851                     | 0.753              | 0.842            | 0.908                  | 0.883              | 0.961               |
| Recall<br>score          | 0.776    | 0.829            | 0.882            | 0.868             | 0.882             | 0.855                     | 0.750              | 0.842            | 0.908                  | 0.882              | 0.961               |
| Precision<br>score       | 0.816    | 0.855            | 0.886            | 0.866             | 0.891             | 0.861                     | 0.763              | 0.866            | 0.909                  | 0.891              | 0.961               |
| Number<br>of<br>features | 5        | 4                | 7                | 5                 | 4                 | 4                         | 4                  | 4                | 6                      | 6                  | 6                   |







### Analysis of the results

#### Impact of standardization

- Beneficial for any type of database
  - + 10 to 15%
- Normalizer not effective for EIS
- Linear method sufficient when data are similar
- Non-linear method works well in all types of configurations
- Uniform quantile transformation offers the best performance
  - Significance of strongly reduced outliers
  - Data at the same scale
  - (-) impact on the relationships between features
  - (+) easy comparison between features

|                  | Score F1 |          |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
|                  | H2 / O2  | H2 / Air |  |  |  |  |
| Raw data         | 0.852    | 0.774    |  |  |  |  |
| Normalizer L2    | 0.781    | 0.832    |  |  |  |  |
| Normalizer L1    | 0.780    | 0.883    |  |  |  |  |
| Normalizer inf   | 0.745    | 0.864    |  |  |  |  |
| Min-max          | 0.943    | 0.879    |  |  |  |  |
| Max absolute     | 0.853    | 0.851    |  |  |  |  |
| Standard         | 0.920    | 0.753    |  |  |  |  |
| Robust           | 0.977    | 0.842    |  |  |  |  |
| Yeo-Johnson      | 0.966    | 0.908    |  |  |  |  |
| Quantile normal  | 0.943    | 0.883    |  |  |  |  |
| Quantile uniform | 0.954    | 0.961    |  |  |  |  |

(^() FC LAB





### **Confusion matrixes**



27



Detected Condition

H<sub>2</sub> / O<sub>2</sub> Database

#### Confusion between air and oxygen shortages

• Similar faults



Funded by the EU Fuel Cell and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking (now Clean Hydrogen Partnership) – H2020 Programme Grant Agreement Number 875047



RUBY

### **Confusion matrixes**



H<sub>2</sub> / Air Database

Confusion between the two poisonings

Incipient and similar defects

Confusion between nominal / drying

- Incipient faults
- Lack of data



#### Detected Condition





## By Conclusion

#### Synopsis:

- Main families of standardization
- Non-model-based diagnostic approach
- The impact of standardization on two different databases

Standardization has a strong influence on the results

- Increasing or reducing distortions
- Basic linear methods are limited
- Use of non-linear methods  $\rightarrow$  Increasing the robustness

Future prospects:

- Automatic detection of the number of clusters
- Increasing in the non-supervised portion













# Thank you for your attention

Contact : damien.chanal@femto-st.fr



This project has received funding from the Fuel Cells and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking (now Clean Hydrogen Partnership) under Grant Agreement No 875047. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme, Hydrogen Europe and Hydrogen Europe Research.



